Notice of Allowability	Applicati n N .  09/940,580  Examiner  Jacques Veillard	Applicant(s)  HANKIN ET AL.  Art Unit	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	·	
Nouce of Allowability		Art Unit	
	Jacques Veillard	I	
		2165	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in (5) or other appropriate commun RIGHTS. This application is su	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THIS	re
1. This communication is responsive to <u>8/5/2004</u> .		•	
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-7,12,14-24,30,34-38,43 and	<u>d 44</u> .		
3.  The drawings filed on <u>8/29/01 &amp; 12/3/01</u> are accepted by	y the Examiner.		
<ul> <li>4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents had</li> </ul>		· (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha		No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	• •		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATI noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements	
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be sub INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which g			
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") m	nust be submitted.		
(a) I including changes required by the Notice of Draftspo	erson's Patent Drawing Review	( PTO-948) attached	
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date	<u>_</u> .		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date	er's Amendment / Comment or i	n the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFF each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such i			
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the department deposition of the department o			
Attachment(s)	_		
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		mmary (PTO-413), ⁄ail Date	
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No./Mail Date	3/08), 7. ☐ Examiner's A	Amendment/Comment	
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposi	t 8. ⊠ Examiner's S	Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
of Biological Material	9.  Other		
•	Coll	ones	
	CHARLE PRIMARY		

1. This action is responsive to the applicant's amendment filed on 8/5/2004.

2. Claims 8-11, 13, 25-29, 31-33 and 39-42 have been canceled.

3. Claims 1-7, 12, 14-24, 30, 34-38, 43 and 44 are pending and are presented for

examination.

**Drawings** 

4. The drawings filed on 8/29/2001 and 12/3/2001 are approved. They have been placed in

the application file and the drawings referred to therein have been approved as to the merits.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-7, 12, 14-24, 30, 34-38, 43 and 44 are allowed over the prior art of record.

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Applicant particularly

discloses a system and method embodied in a computer program product for managing persistent

objects correlating to an application. The step of caching the persistent object within a cache

managed by the persistent object framework, creating a new persistent object according to a data

model stored by the persistent object framework, the creating comprising caching the new

persistent object in the persistent object cache and inserting the new persistent object in the data

source after a save transaction has been committed or a flush method has been invoked as

embodied in the independent claims 1, 12, 35 and 36, in context with the other limitations of the

claims was not described by, would not have obvious over, nor would have been fairly suggested

by the prior art of record.

The dependent claims, being further limiting to the independent claims definite and enabled by the specification are also allowed.

Regarding the independent claims 22, 37, and 38, Applicant particularly discloses a method and system embodied in a computer program product for searching persistent objects stored at least one data source, wherein an application accesses the persistent objects for data comprising: determining a query type for the search query, wherein the query type is selected from the group of query types consisting of a primary key, a handle, a unique key, a query filter, and a relationship between persistent objects, in context with the other limitations of the claims was not described by, would not have obvious over, nor would have been fairly suggested by the prior art of record.

The dependent claims, being further limiting to the independent claims definite and enabled by the specification are also allowed.

Regarding the independent claims 34, 43, and 44, Applicant particularly discloses a system and method embodied in a computer program product for managing persistent objects, wherein the persistent objects are stored within a first data source and a second data source and the persistent objects provide data to an application comprising: implementing a persistent object framework that caches the persistent objects correlating to the application by ... deferring writes to the first and second data sources; and controlling persistent storage of the persistent objects; and retrieving the data from the first and second data sources when requested by the persistent object framework, in context with the other limitations of the claims was not described by, would not have obvious over, nor would have been fairly suggested by the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 2165

The closest prior art Baer et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,035,303) and Hill et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,453,321) both disclose a system and method for storing persistent objects in a data structure type environment. However, both taken singularly or in combination did not implicitly disclose or suggest the applicant's limitations as recited in the independent claims 1, 12, 22, 34-38, 43 and 44 above. The closest prior art fail to anticipate or render the limitations of claims 1, 12, 22, 34-38, 43 and 44 above obvious.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques Veillard whose telephone number is (571) 272-4086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Fri. from 9 Am to 4:30 PM, alt. Fri. off..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on (571) 272- 4083. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2165

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHARLES RONES
REIMARY EXAMINER

Jacques Veillard

Patent examiner TC 2100

January 12, 2005